



RAN - 1803000201030131

RAN-1803000201030131

B.Sc. Sem-I Examination

March / April - 2019

**Mathematics Paper : MCS – 101
Discrete Mathematics - I**

Time: 2 Hours]

[Total Marks: 50

સૂચના : / Instructions

નીચે દર્શાવેલ નિશાનીવાળી વિગતો ઉત્તરવહી પર અવશ્ય લખવી.
Fill up strictly the details of signs on your answer book

Name of the Examination:

B.Sc. Sem-I

Name of the Subject :

Mathematics Paper : MCS – 101 Discrete Mathematics - I

Subject Code No.: **1803000201030131**

Seat No.:

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

Student's Signature

- (1) All questions are compulsory.
- (2) Figures to the right indicate marks of corresponding question.
- (3) Follow usual notations.

Q-1] Answer the following questions:

[10]

- 1] Define biconditional proposition with an illustration.
- 2] Write the inverse and contrapositive of the following:
“If it rains then the crops will grow.”
- 3] Write an equivalent expression for $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r$ which does not contain implication.
- 4] Write negation of each of the following statements:
(a) *If Shubham wins, then Mehul loses.*
(b) *Rahul swims if and only if the water is warm.*
- 5] Construct the truth table for $p \vee \sim q$

Q-2] Answer any two of the following: [10]

- 1] (i) Prove that the product of two odd integers is an odd integer,
(ii) Prove that for every positive integer n , $n^3 + n$ is even.
- 2] Prove De' Morgan's laws using truth table.
- 3] Show that $p \Rightarrow (s \vee t)$ is a valid conclusion from the premises
 $p \Rightarrow (q \vee r)$, $q \Rightarrow s$, $r \Rightarrow t$
- 4] Prove that the following propositions are tautology,
(i) $\sim (p \wedge q) \vee q$ (ii) $p \Rightarrow (p \vee q)$

Q-3] Answer any two of the following: [10]

- 1] Use truth table to prove the distributive law.
 $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
- 2] Prove that propositions $p \vee \sim q$ and $(p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p) \wedge (\sim q)$ are contradiction.
(i) Prove that if x and y are rational numbers then $x + y$ is rational.
(ii) Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational by giving a proof through contradiction.
- 3] Define fallacy and state its types. Show that the following inference is a fallacy:
If today is Ira's birthday, then today is July 27. Today is July 27. Hence today is Ira's birthday.
- 4] Rewrite the following arguments using quantifiers, variables and predicate symbols.
(i) *There is a student who can speak German and who knows the language C++*
(ii) *There is a student who can speak German but does not know C++*
(iii) *Every student either can speak German or knows C++*
(iv) *No student can speak German or knows C++*

Q-4] Answer any two of the following: [10]

- 1] Show that the following pair of propositions is logically equivalent.
(i) $p \vee (p \wedge q)$ and p
(ii) $p \wedge (\sim q \vee q)$ and p
- 2] Consider the following:
Let p : *It is cold day.*
and q : *The temperature is 5 degrees Celsius.*

then write in simple sentences the meaning of following:

- (i) $p \wedge q$
 - (ii) $\sim (p \vee q)$
 - (iii) $\sim (p \wedge q)$
 - (iv) $\sim p \wedge \sim q$
 - (v) $\sim (\sim p \vee \sim q)$
- 3] Prove that the propositions $p \wedge \sim q$ and $(p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p) \wedge (\sim q)$ are contradiction.
- 4] Find pdnf of the following using truth table:
- (i) $(\sim p \vee \sim q) \Rightarrow (\sim p \wedge r)$
 - (ii) $(p \wedge q) \vee (\sim p \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge r)$

Q-5] Answer any two of the following:

[10]

- 1] Obtain principal conjunctive normal form of $(\sim p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Leftrightarrow p)$ without using truth table
- 2] Rewrite the following argument symbolically and determine its validity:
If Reecha wears the green hat she leads the band.
Reecha does not wear the green hat.
Therefore Reecha does not lead the band.
- 3] Use rules of inference to determine w is the conclusion or not, for following premises
 $\sim t \Rightarrow \sim r, \sim s, t \Rightarrow w, r \vee s$
- 4] Obtain conjunctive normal form of $[q \vee (p \wedge r)] \wedge \sim [(p \vee r) \wedge q]$
-